About the Editors

A. Lynn Williams, Ph.D. /eI lIn 'wIljəmz/ Associate Dean and Professor, East Tennessee State University, Johnson City

Lynn Williams is Associate Dean in the College of Clinical and Rehabilitative Health Sciences and a professor in the Department of Audiology and Speech-Language Pathology at East Tennessee State University. Most of her research has involved clinical investigations of models of phonological treatment for children with severe to profound speech sound disorders. She developed a new model of phonological intervention called *multiple oppositions* that has been the basis of federally funded intervention studies by the National Institutes of Health (NIH), and she developed a phonological intervention software program, Sound Contrasts in Phonology (SCIP), that was funded by NIH. Dr. Williams served as associate editor of *Language, Speech, and Hearing Services in Schools* and most recently served as the associate editor of the *American Journal of Speech-Language Pathology*. Dr. Williams is a Fellow of the American Speech-Language-Hearing Association and served as ASHA Vice President for Academic Affairs in Speech-Language Pathology (2016–2018). She currently serves as ASHA's 2020 President-Elect (2021 ASHA President).

Sharynne McLeod, Ph.D. /ˈʃæJən məˈklaʊd/ Professor, Charles Sturt University, Bathurst, Australia

Sharynne McLeod is a speech-language pathologist and professor of speech and language acquisition at Charles Sturt University, Australia. She is an elected Fellow of the American Speech-Language-Hearing Association and Life Member of Speech Pathology Australia. She was named Australia's Research Field Leader in Audiology, Speech and Language Pathology (2018, 2019, 2020) and has won Editors' Awards from *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing: Speech* (2018) and *American Journal of Speech-Language Pathology* (2019). She was an Australian Research Council Future Fellow, previous editor-in-chief of the *International Journal of Speech-Language Pathology*, and has coauthored 11 books and over 200 peer-reviewed journal articles and chapters focusing on children's speech acquisition, speech sound disorders, and multilingualism.

About the Editors

Rebecca J. McCauley, Ph.D. /Jəˈbɛkə dʒe məˈkɔli/ Professor, The Ohio State University, Columbus

Rebecca J. McCauley is a professor in the Department of Speech and Hearing Sciences at The Ohio State University. Her research and writing have focused on assessment and treatment of pediatric communication disorders, with a special focus on speech sound disorders, including childhood apraxia of speech. She has authored or edited seven books on these topics and co-authored a test designed to aid in the differential diagnosis of childhood apraxia of speech. Dr. McCauley is a Fellow of the American Speech-Language-Hearing Association, has received Honors of the Association, and has served two terms as an associate editor of the *American Journal of Speech-Language Pathology*.

Elise Baker, Ph.D. /əli:s bæīkə/ Associate Professor of Allied Health, Western Sydney University and South Western Sydney Local Health District, Australia

Dr. Baker is a speech-language pathologist, clinical researcher, and an associate professor of Allied Health, with Western Sydney University and South Western Sydney Local Health District, Australia. Her research focuses on assessment and intervention for children with speech sound disorders. She is passionate about supporting speech-language pathologists' implementation of high-quality clinical research into everyday clinical practice.

Kirrie J. Ballard, Ph.D. /kIri bælad/ Professor, The University of Sydney, Lidcombe, New South Wales, Australia

Dr. Ballard completed her Ph.D. in 1997 at Northwestern University, Illinois, and a postdoctoral fellowship at the National Center for Voice and Speech at the University of Iowa. She has held academic positions at Indiana University, the University of Iowa, and Thet University of Sydney. She has published extensively on diagnosis and intervention for both developmental and acquired speech disorders, being awarded funding from both U.S. and Australian federal granting bodies. She was awarded a prestigious Future Fellowship from the Australian Research Council in 2012, served as editor-in-chief of the *International Journal of Speech-Language Pathology* from 2014 to 2019, and in 2019 was appointed Fellow of Speech Pathology Australia.

Barbara May Bernhardt, Ph.D. /'baɪ.bJə 'meɪ 'bɜ̃•n,haɪt/ Professor Emerita, Registered Speech-Language Pathologist, School of Audiology and Speech Sciences, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada

Dr. Bernhardt was a professor at the School of Audiology and Speech Sciences at the University of British Columbia (1990–2017) and has been a practicing speech-language pathologist since 1972. Her primary focus is phonological development, assessment, and intervention, including an ongoing crosslinguistic project (http://phonodevelopment .sites.olt.ubc.ca). Other areas of focus include ultrasound in speech therapy; language development, assessment, and intervention; and approaches to service delivery to Indigenous people in Canada.

xiv

Françoise Brosseau-Lapré, Ph.D. /fʁãsˈwɑz bʁɔˈso læˈpʁe/ Assistant Professor, Department of Speech, Language, and Hearing Sciences, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana

Dr. Brosseau-Lapré is a speech-language pathologist and an assistant professor in the Department of Speech, Language, and Hearing Sciences at Purdue University. Her research is funded through the National Institutes of Health. Her research as director of the Purdue Child Phonology Lab focuses on how speech perception impacts speech production and interacts with language factors in children with speech sound disorder with or without concomitant language disorder.

Stephen M. Camarata, Ph.D. /stivən ɛm kæməɹatə/ Professor, Department of Hearing and Speech Sciences, Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, Nashville, Tennessee

Dr. Camarata is a professor of hearing and speech sciences at Vanderbilt University School of Medicine and an investigator at the John F. Kennedy Center on Development and Disabilities. His expertise includes speech and language intervention in children with disabilities, including autism, Down syndrome, hearing loss, and developmental language disorders (DLD), and he has published more than 100 articles on these topics. He is a Fellow of the American Speech-Language-Hearing Association and Editor for Language of the *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research*. Dr. Camarata's research has been funded by the U.S. National Institutes of Health, the U.S. Institute of Educational Sciences, the U.S. Department of Education, and/or private foundations since 1986, and he is the past chair of the NIH study sections on Child Psychopathology and Developmental Disabilities (CPDD) and Communication Disorders Research (CDRC).

Amy Clark, M.S. /eimi klæık/ Speech-Language Pathologist, Children's Minnesota, Minnetonka

Amy Clark is a treatment clinician at Children's Minnesota. She has more than 20 years of extensive experience working with children with developmental delays, motor speech disorders, autism spectrum disorders, and language disorders in a variety of settings. Amy is a nationally recognized speaker who works for the PROMPT Institute, which entails teaching PROMPT classes to speech-language pathologists worldwide, developing online courses, and contributing to PROMPT publications. She views PROMPT as an integral part of her daily practice that helps a wide variety of patients reach their full potential.

Joanne Cleland, Ph.D. /dʒo'an klɛlənd/ Senior Lecturer, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, Scotland

Dr. Cleland is a speech and language therapist and senior lecturer at the University of Strathclyde in Glasgow, Scotland. Her research focuses on using instrumental techniques to diagnose and treat speech disorders in children. She is particularly interested in developing ultrasound tongue imaging into a clinical tool.

Sharon Crosbie, Ph.D. /ʃæɹən k.tdzbi/ Senior Lecturer, Australian Catholic University, School of Allied Health, Banyo, Queensland

Dr. Crosbie is a senior lecturer in speech pathology at the Australian Catholic University. Her research has focused on speech, language, and literacy development in childhood.

Barbara Dodd, Ph.D. /babəJə dpd/ Honorary Professor, Murdoch Children's Research Institute, Melbourne; University of Queensland, St. Lucia, Queensland, Australia

Although officially retired, Dr. Dodd is still active in research and teaching and writing. She worked in departments of psychology, linguistics, and speech-language pathology at universities in the United Kingdom and Australia. Her research focuses on the nature, differential diagnosis, and treatment of spoken and written developmental phonological disorders.

Jennifer Eigen, M.S. /dʒənɪfə⁻ eigən/ Speech-Language Pathologist, Private Practice, Brooklyn, New York

Jennifer Eigen owns a private practice in Brooklyn, New York, where she and her therapists provide speech-language services to toddlers, preschoolers, and school-age children with a wide range of issues, including motor speech, language, and autism spectrum disorders. Jennifer also works for the PROMPT Institute, teaching PROMPT classes to speech-language pathologists worldwide, helping the institute develop online courses, and contributing to PROMPT publications. Additionally, Jennifer teaches a course in speech sound disorders to graduate students in New York University's online graduate program.

Jennifer R. Frey, Ph.D. $/\hat{d}_3\epsilon nifr$ fre/ Associate Professor, George Washington University, Washington, District of Columbia

Dr. Frey is an associate professor of special education and disability studies at the George Washington University. Her research explores factors that influence early social communication development and predictors of response to treatment in order to adapt interventions to meet the unique needs of individual children with disabilities and their families. She has published in the fields of special education, pediatrics, psychology, and speech-language pathology.

Gail T. Gillon, Ph.D. /geeʊ gə·lən/ Director, Child Well-being Research Institute at the University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand, and Co-Director, A Better Start National Science Challenge, Liggins Institute, University of Auckland, New Zealand

Dr. Gillon is Director of the Child Well-being Research Institute at the University of Canterbury, New Zealand, and is Co-director of A Better Start National Science Challenge, a 10-year program of research focused on ensuring all children's learning success and wellbeing. She has an extensive publication record in children's speech-language and literacy development.

xvii

Allison M. Haskill, Ph.D. /ælɪsən hæskəl/ Professor, Augustana College, Rock Island, Illinois

Dr. Haskill is a professor in the Communication Sciences and Disorders Department at Augustana College where she teaches child language development and disorders courses and also serves as Director for the Center for Speech, Language, and Hearing. Her areas of research include narratives of children on the autism spectrum and morphosyntax skills of children with speech-language impairments.

Deborah A. Hayden, M.A. /dɛbə heidɪn/ Research Director, The PROMPT Institute, Santa Fe, New Mexico

Deborah Hayden is the developer and founder of the PROMPT Institute. Currently, she is the research director of the PROMPT Institute and continues to work with colleagues around the world to promote and develop clinical and brain-related research for the identification, assessment, and treatment of expressive speech disorders across the life span.

Megan M. Hodge, Ph.D. /'mɛɡn hɑdʒ/ Professor Emerita, Department of Communication Disorders, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada

Dr. Hodge's clinical and research work have focused on linking theory with practice for serving children with motor speech disorders with the goal of maximizing these children's acquisition of intelligible speech.

Barbara Hodson, Ph.D. /bæbərə hadsən/ Professor, Wichita State University, Wichita, Kansas

Dr. Hodson is a professor at Wichita State University and has been directly involved with phonology clients for more than 30 years. Her major professional goal has been to develop more effective assessment and remediation procedures for children with highly unintelligible speech. In 2004, she received the American Speech-Language-Hearing Foundation's Frank R. Kleffner Lifetime Clinical Career Award, and in 2009, she received the American Speech-Language-Hearing Association's Honors of the Association.

Alison Holm, Ph.D. /æləsən hplm/ Senior Lecturer, Griffith University, Nathan, Queensland, Australia

Dr. Holm is a speech-language pathologist and academic at the Nathan campus of Griffith University in Brisbane. Her research interests include assessment and intervention for multilingual and monolingual children with speech sound disorders and multilingual children's language development and disorder. xviii

Ann Kaiser, Ph.D. /æn kaizr/ Professor, Special Education, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee

Dr. Kaiser is the Susan W. Gray Professor of Education and Human Development at Vanderbilt University. She is the author of more than 175 articles on early intervention for children with autism and other development communication disabilities. Her research focuses on therapist- and parent-implemented naturalistic interventions.

Megan C. Leece, M.A. /mɛgɪn lis/ Research Speech-Language Pathologist, Syracuse University, Syracuse, New York

Megan C. Leece is a speech-language pathologist at the Speech Production Laboratory at Syracuse University. She specializes in working with children with speech sound disorders. She participates in research on the diagnosis and treatment of speech sound disorders.

Jennifer Thompson Mackovjak, M.A. /dʒɛnəfə[,] tampsın/ Doctoral Candidate, Western Michigan University, Kalamazoo

Jennifer Thompson Mackovjak is a doctoral candidate in the Interdisciplinary Health Sciences program at Western Michigan University and holds a master of arts degree from Central Michigan University. She has served as a field preceptor, clinical instructor, and adjunct instructor and has provided speech and language therapy across the life span. Ms. Thompson Mackovjak specializes in autism, behavioral therapy, and augmentative and alternative communication. Currently, she is a pediatric therapist for a rural Critical Access Hospital in Colorado.

Lesley C. Magnus, Ph.D. /lɛslı mæɡnəs/ Professor, Minot State University, Minot, North Dakota

Dr. Magnus is a professor at Minot State University, specializing in phonology, clefting, and assessment in speech-language pathology. She has been involved in clinical work for more than 30 years in both Canada and the United States.

Sarah Masso, Ph.D. /se:10 mæs0u/ Postdoctoral Research Fellow, The University of Sydney; and Academic Fellow, Charles Sturt University, Australia

Dr. Masso is a certified practicing speech pathologist, a research fellow at Thet University of Sydney, Australia, and an adjunct research fellow at Charles Sturt University, Australia. She developed the Word-Level Analysis of Polysyllables and is currently investigating the relationship between polysyllable speech accuracy and literacy development with funding from an Australian Research Council Discovery Early Career Research Awards (DECRA).

Brigid C. McNeill, Ph.D. /bɪɪdʒɪt məknil/ Associate Professor, University of Canterbury Child Well-being Research Institute, School of Teacher Education, College of Education Health and Human Development, University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand

Dr. McNeill is a speech-language therapist and Associate Professor and Deputy Head of School of Teacher Education in the College of Education, Health and Human Development at the University of Canterbury. Dr. McNeill is an international expert on literacy development in children with childhood apraxia of speech. Her research also focuses on developing and evaluating methods to better prepare teachers to support children's early literacy development.

Adele W. Miccio, Ph.D. /ədɛl miʔtʃoʊ/ Associate Professor, Pennsylvania State University, University Park

Adele Miccio died in March 2009. Having completed her Ph.D. in speech and hearing sciences at Indiana University in Bloomington, she was a distinguished professor at the Pennsylvania State University since 1995. Her research, funded by the National Institutes of Health and the U.S. Department of Education, focused on interventions for children with speech sound disorders and phonological development of bilingual children and children with chronic middle-ear infections. In 2002, she was a visiting scholar and guest lecturer at Harvard University, and in 2006, she was named Director of the Penn State Center for Language Science. A beloved and cherished colleague, Adele is greatly missed by all of us who had the privilege of knowing her.

Michele L. Morrisette, Ph.D. /mɪʃɛl mɔɪɪsɛt/ Lecturer, Indiana University, Bloomington

Dr. Morrisette holds a lecturer position in the Department of Speech and Hearing Sciences at Indiana University, Bloomington. Her research, clinical, and teaching interests focus on phonological acquisition and disorders in children.

Aravind K. Namasivayam, Ph.D. /@Jəvind næməʃivəjəm/ Scientist, University of Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Dr. Aravind Namasivayam is a speech-language pathologist with expertise in working with clinical and developmental populations with speech disorders. He is a research associate in the Oral Dynamics Laboratory, Department of Speech-Language Pathology, at the University of Toronto.

Michelle Pascoe, Ph.D. /mr/fel 'pæskɛʊ/ Associate Professor, Division of Communication Sciences and Disorders, University of Cape Town, Groote Schuur Hospital, South Africa

Dr. Pascoe is an associate professor in the Division of Communication Sciences and Disorders at the University of Cape Town, South Africa, and a speech-language therapist. She is director of Child Language Africa (https://www.childlanguageafrica.com), a research unit focused on speech and language development in the languages of Southern Africa, multilingualism, and ways to support clinicians when working with families from a range of language and cultural backgrounds.

Lindsay Pennington, Ph.D. /'lɪndzi 'pɛnɪŋtn/ Reader in Communication Disorders, Population Health Sciences Institute, Faculty of Medical Sciences, Newcastle University, United Kingdom

Dr. Pennington's research and clinical practice focus on the speech and communication development of children and young people with motor disorders. Her current and recent work includes the development of classification scales to describe speech and eating and drinking and trials of parent training programs to promote early communication development, interventions to improve speech intelligibility for children and young people with dysarthria, and the comparative effects of medications to reduce drooling.

Jonathan L. Preston, Ph.D. /ˈdʒɑnəθın ˈpɹɛstɪn/ Associate Professor, Syracuse University, New York

Dr. Preston is a speech-language pathologist and an associate professor in the Communication Sciences and Disorders Department at Syracuse University. His clinical research focuses on neurolinguistically motivated and evidence-based treatments for children with speech sound disorders, including children with residual speech errors and childhood apraxia of speech. He also teaches undergraduate and graduate courses related to speech sound disorders in children.

Raúl Francisco Prezas, Ph.D. /raul presəs/ Associate Professor, Stephen F. Austin State University, Nacogdoches, Texas

Dr. Prezas is an associate professor in the Department of Human Services at Stephen F. Austin State University in Texas. He has several years of clinical experience in the university, public school, and home health settings, particularly working with culturally and linguistically diverse populations and their families. His interests include speech disorders, phonological development, bilingual/multicultural assessment and treatment, working with children with highly unintelligible speech, phonological treatment models/outcomes, school-based issues, working with underrepresented students, and epistemological beliefs. In addition to publications in several journals, including the *American Journal of Speech-Language Pathology*, Dr. Prezas has written book chapters and articles related to interest areas, including monolingual and bilingual phonological acquisition, selective mutism, autism, fluency disorders, and culturally and linguistically diverse children.

Donald A. Robin, Ph.D. /dpnəld rpbən/ Professor and Chair, University of New Hampshire, Department of Communication Sciences and Disorders, Durham

Dr. Robin's teaching and research are in the area of motor speech disorders and the neural control of speech. He has studied childhood apraxia of speech for more than 35 years. His research focuses on clinical trials and using brain imaging to understand how treatments such as Treatment for Establishment of Motor Program Organization induce experience-dependent neural plasticity.

xxi

Susan Rvachew, Ph.D. /'su,
zən ıə'væju/ Professor, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada

Dr. Rvachew, ASHA Fellow, is a professor in the School of Communication Sciences and Disorders at McGill University. Her research focuses on the development of more effective interventions to treat speech sound disorders in children and prevent reading disability in this population. She is the author of more than 80 papers and two books on phonological development and disorders.

Nancy J. Scherer, Ph.D. /nænsi ſir/ Professor, Arizona State University, Tempe

Dr. Scherer is a professor of speech and hearing science at Arizona State University. She conducts research on assessment and intervention efficacy for young children with craniofacial conditions. She focuses on assessing effectiveness of early intervention service delivery models (telehealth, parent training, hybrid) for application in the United States and international contexts.

Joy Stackhouse, Ph.D. /ˈdʒɔɪ ˈstækhaʊs/ Professor Emeritus, Department of Human Communication Sciences, University of Sheffield, England

Dr. Stackhouse is Emeritus Professor of Human Communication Sciences at the University of Sheffield and a Fellow of the Royal College of Speech and Language Therapists. In collaboration with Bill Wells and Michelle Pascoe, she has developed a psycholinguistic framework for the assessment and management of children and young adults with spoken and written language difficulties.

Edythe A. Strand, Ph.D. /idi θ strænd/ Emeritus Speech Pathologist, Department of Neurology, Mayo Clinic; Emeritus Professor, Mayo College of Medicine

Dr. Strand is Emeritus Professor of Speech Pathology, Mayo College of Medicine, United States. Her research, clinical practice, and publications have focused on acquired, progressive, and childhood apraxia of speech and on dysarthria in degenerative disease. She has been awarded American Speech-Language-Hearing Association (ASHA) Fellow, ASHA Honors, and Honors of Academy of Neurologic Communication Disorders and Sciences.

Eleanor Sugden, Ph.D. /'elənə 'sʌgdən/ Postdoctoral Researcher, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, Scotland

Dr. Sugden is a speech-language pathologist and postdoctoral researcher working at the University of Strathclyde. She is interested in the everyday clinical management of child-hood speech sound disorders, instrumental analysis and treatment of speech sound disorders, and how to support speech-language pathologists' application of evidence into their clinical practice.

Ann A. Tyler, Ph.D. /æn tɑɪləː/ Associate Dean, Professor, Western Michigan University, Kalamazoo

Dr. Tyler is Associate Dean in the College of Health and Human Services and Professor of Speech, Language and Hearing Sciences at Western Michigan University. She is a Fellow of the American Speech-Language-Hearing Association (ASHA). She has presented and published extensively in childhood speech sound disorders. Her research in the area of treatment efficacy has been supported by a variety of external funding sponsors. Dr. Tyler has served on numerous editorial boards and has served ASHA in a variety of roles.

Roslyn Ward, Ph.D. /Jozlin wuld/ Lead Postdoctoral Research Fellow, Perth Children's Hospital, Perth, Australia; Senior Research Fellow, Curtin University, Bentley Western Australia

Dr. Ward is a senior research fellow in the School of Occupational Therapy, Social Work and Speech Pathology at Curtin University/Perth Children's Hospital. She is also a certified practicing speech-language pathologist. Her research interests include conducting clinical trials in infants/children with communication impairment associated with cerebral palsy.

Pam Williams, Ph.D. /pæm wiljəmz/ Honorary Lecturer, University College London Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, London

Dr. Williams worked as a speech and language therapist at the Nuffield Hearing and Speech Centre for more than 30 years before retiring from her clinical role in December 2017. She was involved in the creation of the original Nuffield Centre Dyspraxia Programme (1985) and has been responsible for its development since 1993. She continues to run training courses for speech and language professionals on the subject of childhood apraxia of speech and the *Nuffield Centre Dyspraxia Programme (Third Edition)*. Dr. Williams was awarded a Fellowship of the Royal College of Speech and Language Therapists in 2013 in recognition of having carried out work of special value to the profession. She completed her doctoral studies at the University of Sheffield, United Kingdom, in 2016, and her thesis investigated the diadochokinetic skills of children with speech sound disorders. She continues to be a member of the Child Speech Disorder Research Network for the United Kingdom and Ireland.

Yvonne Wren, Ph.D. /IVDN JEN/ Director, Bristol Speech and Language Therapy Research Unit, North Bristol NHS Trust, United Kingdom, and Senior Research Fellow, University of Bristol, United Kingdom

Dr. Wren is Director of Bristol Speech and Language Therapy Research Unit in the United Kingdom, a research center hosted within a National Health Service facility and carrying out applied research in speech-language pathology. Her primary research interests include speech sound disorder, cleft palate, and epidemiology of speech and language development and disorder. She is chief investigator of the Cleft Collective Speech and Language Study, a national cohort study of children born with cleft palate in the United Kingdom and is a collaborator of the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children, a longitudinal community population study following the development of children over a 25-year period.

xxii

A. Lynn Williams, Sharynne McLeod, and Rebecca J. McCauley

Speech sound disorders (SSD) in children are a widespread, high-prevalence disability (Eadie et al., 2015; Law, Boyle, Harris, Harkness, & Nye, 2000; McLeod, Harrison, McAllister, & McCormack, 2013) that comprises 40% to 70% of the caseload of speechlanguage pathologists (SLPs) who work in pediatric settings (Furlong, Serry, Erickson, & Morris, 2018; Joffe & Pring, 2008; McLeod & Baker, 2014). SSD are diverse and vary in both severity and type and often co-occur with other disabilities, such as language and literacy impairments. Following is a comprehensive definition of SSD that is used throughout this book:

Children with speech sound disorders can have any combination of difficulties with *perception, articulation/motor production,* and/or *phonological representation* of speech segments (consonants and vowels), *phonotactics* (syllable and word shapes), and *prosody* (lexical and grammatical tones, rhythm, stress, and intonation) that may impact speech *intelligibility* and *acceptability* . . . speech sound disorders is used as an umbrella term for the full range of speech sound difficulties of both known (e.g., Down syndrome, cleft lip and palate) and presently unknown origin. (International Expert Panel on Multilingual Children's Speech, 2012, p. 1, emphasis added)

This definition of SSD aligns closely with definitions of SSD from the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* (DSM-5; American Psychiatric Association, 2013) and the *International Classification of Diseases*, *11th Revision* (ICD-11; World Health Organization [WHO], 2018) except that, in this book, we also include children with known causes for their SSD (e.g., cerebral palsy). A number of the authors have used the following classification from McLeod and Baker (2017) to describe the breadth of SSDs: phonology (phonological impairment and inconsistent speech disorder) and motor speech (articulation impairment, childhood apraxia of speech, and childhood dysarthria).

The breadth and complexity of SSD present a considerable challenge for SLPs to differentially diagnose the type of SSD and determine the most appropriate intervention approach for a given child, especially when there are a number of published approaches from which to select. Similar to the first edition, this book uses a prescribed template to describe a number of intervention approaches that were developed for the range of SSD that SLPs may encounter in their practice. This template facilitates critical comparisons across interventions in terms of client populations and key elements as well as levels of evidence. As in the first edition, this organization may be useful for different groups of readers who will likely read the book with different goals in mind. In particular, we expect this book will be of interest to four different groups: 1) students of speech-language pathology; 2) clinical practitioners who work with children with SSD; 3) faculty and clinical educators who teach students about SSD in children; and 4) parents of children with SSD. The chapter begins with a description of the purpose of the book followed by an overview of the template and organization of each intervention chapter, with recommended sections for different readers. Next, a structural framework for intervention is described to aid in the understanding of the components of each intervention. Finally, the chapter ends with a list of references for several core components that are foundational to working with children with SSD.

THE PURPOSE OF THIS BOOK

Clinical decision making is defined as choosing among available alternatives and involves collection, interpretation, and evaluation of data in order to make an evidence-based decision (Tiffen, Corbridge, & Slimmer, 2014). A growing body of literature demonstrates that explicit training in clinical decision-making skills is required for novice clinicians (Dudding & Pfeiffer, 2018; Finn, 2011; Furlong, et al., 2018; Ginsberg, Friberg, & Visconti, 2016; Hill, Davidson, & Theodoros, 2012). This is a critically important skill for students and practitioners to develop in making evidence-based clinical decisions in selecting intervention approaches, especially when there are a number of published approaches from which to select. Baker and McLeod (2011) identified 42 different intervention approaches for children with SSD. While there is empirical evidence that most studied interventions are effective, no single approach has proven to be the most effective. This adds to the overwhelming nature of the decision that both novice and experienced clinicians face in determining which approach to select from an array of approaches. The variety poses a challenge for SLPs: knowing which approaches best suit the children with SSD on their caseloads and understanding how to implement the approaches with fidelity. Further, different approaches may be better suited to specific degrees and types of impairments (see Table 1.1) or at different points within the continuum of intervention for a given child (Baker, McCauley, Williams, & McLeod, 2020). So, the question is, How do clinicians determine which intervention approach is the best one to use with their client? That is where this book comes in.

A primary purpose of this book is to describe and critically analyze a range of intervention approaches used for children with SSD. A second, equally important, or even *more* important, purpose is to help readers learn skills that will enable them to examine and critically evaluate these and other approaches *for themselves*. Thus, in response to the previous question, our goal with this book is to provide SLPs with sufficient information about each intervention approach so that they can align the clinical characteristics of their client's SSD to the intervention approach that best addresses those needs. Furthermore, we do not believe that a single intervention approach will be the sole intervention for any child with SSD. As readers will learn through reading about the various approaches in this book, several interventions are designed as transitional methods to help children progress from emerging sound systems to elaborating their sound systems.

Both of these purposes provide compelling rationales for a book because of the complexity of SSD and because children with SSD comprise a heterogeneous population. SSD are diverse, varying in both severity and type (articulation, phonological, and motor speech).

To introduce readers to an extensive range of interventions for SSD, we invited 20 groups of authors from around the world to write chapters about interventions they have developed and/or tested. Consequently, the book contains chapters by the world's foremost SLP researcher-clinicians from the United States, Canada, Scotland, Ireland, England, Australia, New Zealand, and South Africa. The interventions were selected on the basis of

Table 1.1. Breadth of speech sound disorders included in this book

Primary populations	Children with:
	Articulation delay/disorder
	Phonological delay/disorder
	Inconsistent speech disorder
	Speech impairment
	Phonological/morphological disorder
	Phonological/language disorder
	Phonological/phonological awareness/literacy impairment
	Repaired cleft lip and palate
	Childhood apraxia of speech
	Motor speech disorders, including childhood apraxia of speech and develop- mental dysarthria
Secondary populations	Children with:
	Craniofacial anomalies
	Hearing loss
	Sensorimotor impairments
	Cerebral palsy
	Tongue thrust
	Intellectual impairment, including children with Down syndrome
	Congenital conditions associated with developmental dysarthria, such as conditions affecting the cranial nerves, and early onset muscular dystrophy

their empirical evidence, or potential efficacy, as well as their widespread use across ages, severity levels, and populations. Included are approaches encompassing interventions that focus on sound production accuracy, systemwide restructuring of the child's phonology, coexisting speech and language or speech and literacy impairments, articulation, motor speech, and perceptual training, as well as biofeedback and digitally based interventions.

THE BOOK'S OVERALL ORGANIZATION

We begin this edition of the book with a chapter by Baker and Williams (Chapter 2) with a guide for readers on how to learn about the various interventions discussed in the book and how to implement those interventions with fidelity. The framework from the Phonological Intervention Taxonomy (Baker, Williams, McLeod, & McCauley, 2018) is used to help both novice and experienced clinicians gain a deeper, richer understanding of the elements that comprise each intervention by considering four broad domains: Goals, Teaching Moment, Context, and Procedural Issues. The remainder of the book is devoted to the description of 21 intervention approaches. Given the diversity and complexity of these interventions, we have not attempted a categorization. An imposed classification of individual approaches would not be based on a definitive or agreed-upon set of categories, and classifications would differ among readers as well as among the developers of the approaches. Instead, the interventions are independent of each other and can be taught and learned in the sequence that matches readers' goals and needs. However, as in the first edition, we have synthesized the information across all 21 approaches in a grid format both to help you identify approaches you want to explore further and to provide a snapshot comparison of the approaches. The appendix to Chapter 1, available online, synthesizes and summarizes many of the

most salient features of each intervention approach in terms of the *developmental level* of the child's sound system (emerging, developing, and elaborating), the *targeted stage of production* of the intervention (planning, programming, and/or execution), and the *targeted outcomes* of the intervention (speech production, speech perception, phonological awareness, other oral language, and/or literacy). This strategy gives you the big picture of all 20 approaches so that you can then move to more focused reading of specific intervention approaches.

Three key features of the intervention chapters will be of special interest to readers:

- 1. Organization of each chapter using standard headings, which increases the ease of reading and learning about the interventions and enables comparisons among approaches. These headings, particularly Practical Requirements and Key Components, should also facilitate implementation of interventions once they are chosen as appropriate for a given child.
- 2. Evaluation of each approach within an evidence-based practice (EBP) framework that examines the levels of evidence—and the quality of evidence—for each approach. This information helps readers gauge the strength of an intervention's empirical base, thus allowing them to determine an intervention's likely benefit for appropriate children.
- 3. Inclusion of demonstration videos for almost all of the intervention approaches. The use of intertitle text slides in each video provides a guide for viewers to understand what is occurring in segments of the demonstration, which can be related back to the text in the Practical Requirements and Key Component sections.

THE ORGANIZATION OF INDIVIDUAL INTERVENTION CHAPTERS

To provide uniformity across approaches, authors of individual intervention chapters were invited to use the same template, with its prescribed specific headings and expected content. The standardization of headings across chapters promotes easy access to and evaluation of important information about each approach, thus facilitating decisions concerning treatment efficacy, clinician expertise, and clients' preferences—the triad of considerations within EBP (Dollaghan, 2007; Sackett, Rosenberg, Gray, Hayes, & Richardson, 1996). The current template was modified slightly from the one used in the first edition on the basis of reviews and feedback we received from readers. Table 1.2 describes the current template in terms of the headings and content.

Target Populations

Following an abstract and brief introduction, each chapter describes the primary populations for which the intervention is designed as well as any secondary populations especially those for which there is empirical support or theoretical support for its use. Client populations are described in terms of age or developmental range and prerequisite skills required for use of the approach or program. Other considerations, such as child's attention span, ability to imitate, and ability to follow complex directions, are described.

Assessment and Analysis Methods

In this section of their chapter, authors describe any assessment methods used to establish the appropriateness of the intervention for the individual child, including what analyses are completed. When assessment methods associated with determining the appropriateness of

4

Section heading	Content
Target Populations	Description of population(s) for which empirical and/or theoretical support of the intervention is available (e.g., in terms of age, major disability, prerequisite skills)
Assessment and Analysis Methods	Standardized and/or informal measures used and the type of analysis completed
	Assessment linked to ICF-CY model to address other aspects assessed beyond Body Structure and Body Function (e.g., Activities and Participation)
Theoretical Basis	The dominant rationale for the intervention
	Assumptions made about the deficits, compensatory strategies, or strengths that are targeted
	Nature of outcomes targeted (e.g., positive effect on social roles, decreased functional limitation)
	Area of functioning being targeted (e.g., intelligibility, movement for speech), including the nature of the outcomes targeted within the ICF-CY framework
Empirical Basis	Summary and interpretation of studies
	Study descriptions that provide information about participants and the study design, including an evaluation of the quality of the experimental designs using PEDro (group designs) and RoBiNT scales (single-subject designs)
	Level of evidence table providing a quick reference to the strength of the designs included in this section, tabled according to whether or not the studies support the intervention
Practical Requirements	Time demands
	Personnel demands, including training, for both professionals and family members
	Type of sessions (e.g., group, individual)
	Frequency and duration of sessions (dosage)
Key Components	Target selection approach, including impairment-based and social-based goals
	Types of goals targeted (e.g., production of a specific sound, improved phonological awareness)
	Goal attack strategy for addressing multiple goals (sequential, cyclic, simultaneous)
	Procedures (therapeutic actions of the primary clinician, who may be a professional or family member depending on the approach)
	Activities in which procedures are embedded (e.g., storybook reading, play, conversation, structured repetition)
	Materials used in the intervention
	List of general therapy steps, often including a flowchart to convey this information
	Roles of secondary personnel (e.g., teachers, family members, the clinician for family-based interventions)
Monitoring Progress and Generalization	Recommendations for data collection and for how decisions are made regarding the alteration of goals, methods, stimuli, termination of therapy, and so forth
	Include ICF or ICF-CY framework in measuring outcomes to include changes in participation

Table 1.2. The template: Components of each chapter

(continued)

Section heading	Content	
Considerations for Children from Culturally and Linguistically Diverse Backgrounds	Applicability of approach to children of different linguistic and cultural backgrounds	
	Recommended ways in which the intervention can be adapted to better meet child and caregiver needs	
Case Study	Description of one or more children for whom the intervention was helpful (used to illustrate children's responses to the intervention and ongoing decision making)	
	Inclusion of first-hand accounts from parents/families/children regarding the impact of intervention	
Learning Activities	Two to three activities that help readers apply information about the inter- vention approach	
Future Directions	Recommendations for areas of further study regarding the intervention; these may include additional populations for which it may be useful	
Summary	Main takeaway points of the intervention approach	
Suggested Readings	Three to five readings providing additional information about the interven- tion's theoretical or empirical basis or its procedures	
References	Bibliographic references of in-text citations	

Key: ICF, International Classification of Functioning, Disability, and Health (World Health Organization [WHO], 2001); ICF-CY, International Classification of Functioning, Disability, and Health – Children and Youth Version (WHO, 2007); PEDro, Physiotherapy Evidence Database (Verhagen et al., 1998); RoBiNT, Risk of Bias of N-of-1 Trials (Tate et al., 2013)

the approach to the child are particularly detailed, authors use citations to supplement a brief overview of the methods.

Finally, authors address whether assessment also focused on the impact of the SSD on the child's activities and participation. Interest in this expansion beyond the level of the speech impairment arises from work by WHO (2007) in the form of the International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health-Children and Youth Version (ICF-CY). The ICF-CY is a framework that provides an international interdisciplinary language of health and health-related issues for children that allows for the holistic consideration of the biopsychosocial issues facing children. Over the past 40 years, WHO has been working to create a holistic approach for all people, of all ages, across all nations, from a perspective of health and wellness providing a common language for comparison of data across countries, health-care disciplines, services, and time; to provide a systematic coding scheme for health information systems; and to provide a scientific basis for consequences of health conditions. The International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF; WHO, 2001) and ICF-CY have been endorsed by many professional associations throughout the world, including the American Speech-Language-Hearing Association in the Scope of Practice in Speech-Language Pathology (ASHA, 2016) and the Scope of Practice in Audiology (ASHA, 2018), the Royal College of Speech and Language Therapists (RCSLT), the Speech-Language and Audiology Canada (SAC), and Speech Pathology Australia (SPA), and have broad relevance to these professions (Blake & McLeod, 2018). The ICF and ICF-CY comprise the following interrelated components: Body Functions, Body Structures, Activities and Participation, Environmental Factors, and Personal Factors. Each of these factors relates to children with SSD, who are the focus of this book. An example of the application of the ICF-CY to a 7-year-old boy with

unintelligible speech is found in McLeod (2006), and other applications to children with SSD are available (McLeod & McCormack, 2007).

A merger between the ICF and ICF-CY was proposed by WHO in 2012 (https://www .who.int/classifications/icf/whoficresolution2012icfcy.pdf?ua=1) and is scheduled to occur in 2020. When this book was finalized, WHO still provided separate searchable websites for the ICF (WHO, 2001) (http://apps.who.int/classifications/icfbrowser/) and the ICF-CY (WHO, 2007) (https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/43737). Therefore, in this book authors were able to select whether they referred to the ICF or ICF-CY. Table 1.3 describes the components of the ICF (WHO, 2001) and the ICF-CY (2007).

Theoretical Basis

In this section, authors discuss the dominant theoretical explanation or rationale for the intervention approach or program, including the underlying assumptions regarding the nature of 1) the impairment being addressed or 2) compensatory strategies being

 Table 1.3.
 Components of the International Classification of Functioning, Disability, and Health (ICF) and the International Classification of Functioning, Disability, and Health – Children and Youth Version (WHO, 2001, 2007)

Component	Definition	Difficulty
Body Functions	 Physiological functions of body systems (including psychological functions) Eight chapters describe Body Functions, including Chapter 1: Mental functions (e.g., Memory functions, Intellectual functions) Chapter 3: Voice and speech functions (e.g., Articulation functions) 	Impairment: Problems in Body Functions such as significant deviation or loss
Body Structures	 Anatomical parts of the body such as organs, limbs, and their components Eight chapters describe Body Structures, including Chapter 2: The eye, ear, and related structures (e.g., Structure of inner ear) Chapter 3: Structures involved in voice and speech (e.g. Structure of mouth) 	Impairment: Problems in Body Structures such as significant deviation or loss
Activities and Participation	 Activity: The execution of a task or action by an individual Participation: Involvement in a life situation Nine chapters describe Activities and Participation, including Chapter 3: Communication (e.g., Speaking, Conversation) Chapter 12: Interpersonal interactions and relationships (e.g., Relating with strangers) 	Activity limitation: Difficulties an individual may have in executing activities Participation restriction: Difficulties an individual may experience in involvement in life situations
Environmental Factors	 The physical, social, and attitudinal environment in which people live and conduct their lives Five chapters describe Environmental Factors, including Chapter 3: Support and relationships (e.g., Support from siblings) Chapter 4: Attitudes (e.g., Attitude of friends) 	Environmental Factors are either barriers to or facilitators of the person's functioning
Personal Factors	These are not specified in the ICF; however, factors may include age, sex, and indigenous status	

Source: World Health Organization (2001, 2007).

developed via the intervention. Authors provide information about whether the intervention approach focuses solely on speech output and/or on other domains (e.g., perception, literacy, morphosyntax). Typically, the authors also provide information about the level of consequences being addressed within the ICF-CY framework—for example, whether the intervention is targeting a functional limitation directly or the social skill, activity, or social role restrictions that result from it.

Empirical Basis

This section of each chapter presents the empirical basis for the intervention through summaries and interpretation of studies that provide evidence to support the use of the intervention. Study descriptions include information about the participants and the study design. The Empirical Basis section of each chapter is considered of utmost importance because of its relevance to EBP. Although EBP was initially developed within medicine, it has become shorthand for the assumption that clinical services are improved when practitioners become "data seekers, data integrators, and critical evaluators of the application of new knowledge to clinical cases" (Bernstein Ratner, 2006, pp. 257–258). Furthermore, EBP encompasses specific steps by which professionals not only seek and evaluate research evidence in support of their practice but also actively integrate such evidence with their own expertise (including clinical data gathering) and client preferences (e.g., Dollaghan, 2007).

In this section, authors analyze the research conducted for their approach and describe this research in terms of levels of evidence—a summary of evidence quality to facilitate readers' effective and appropriate implementation of the interventions in clinical practice. A table is included in each intervention chapter that indicates the level of evidence for the journal articles and other sources cited by the authors and whether the evidence supports or refutes the effectiveness of the intervention approach. The levels-of-evidence system used here was adapted from the Scottish Intercollegiate Guideline Network system and has been used by ASHA; see Table 1.4. Authors were encouraged to evaluate the quality of the evidence using two systems: the Physiotherapy Evidence Database (PEDro) scale (Verhagen et al., 1998) to evaluate the quality of experimental group designs, and the Risk of Bias of N-of-1 Trials (RoBiNT) scale (Tate et al., 2013) to evaluate the quality of single case experimental designs.

Practical Requirements

In this section, authors describe the nature, frequency, and length of sessions as well as whether the sessions are individual, group, school-based, or home-based. In addition, authors describe the personnel demands by identifying the primary clinician and other

Level	Description
1	Meta-analysis, systematic review, randomized controlled trial (RCT)
2	Controlled study without randomization (single case experimental design [SCED], case control study, cohort study, quasi-experimental study)
3	Nonexperimental/nonanalytic studies (correlational study, case report, case study)
4	Expert opinion (expert committee report, consensus conference, clinical experience of respected authorities)

Adapted from the Scottish Intercollegiate Guideline Network (http://www.sign.ac.uk).

8

participants, the training required of those involved, and typically the dosage of the intervention (e.g., frequency and length of sessions). Where appropriate, the authors have included the nature of involvement of participants beyond the clinician and child (e.g., parents, peers, siblings, teachers). In the case of parent-administered interventions, the clinician's role is specified.

Key Components

This section is the how-to portion of the intervention chapters. Authors typically describe the target selection approach, the nature of goals or targeted outcome of the intervention approach (e.g., production of a specific sound, improved phonological awareness), and goal attack strategies (e.g., sequential, simultaneous, cyclical). Authors were encouraged to address the inclusion of social-based goals linked to the ICF framework, which address activities and participation. In addition, there is a description of the activities undertaken during the intervention approach, procedures implemented by the clinician, a list of general therapy steps (often including a therapy flowchart), and what materials and equipment are required.

Monitoring Progress and Generalization

In each chapter, authors provide recommended assessment techniques and data collection used for decision-making in each intervention approach. This includes discussion regarding techniques for determining whether progress is being made, when changes should be made to the intervention plan, and when treatment should be terminated. For some interventions, this section may be relatively brief, usually because it is assumed that these methods are independent of the specific approach and may differ by setting or clinician. Authors were also encouraged to address any outcome measures linked to the ICF-CY framework that include changes in participation.

Considerations for Children from Culturally and Linguistically Diverse Backgrounds

This section highlights the applicability of each intervention approach to children who are from linguistically and culturally diverse backgrounds. Authors provide ways their intervention approach might be modified to be more appropriate for children across the world. This section can be relatively brief when authors believe that their approach poses few challenges associated with cultural and linguistic differences. When appropriate, the authors also document countries where their approach has been adopted and languages in which their approach has been translated. This searching was facilitated with reference to *The International Guide to Speech Acquisition* (McLeod, 2007), a resource documenting speech acquisition, assessment, and intervention practices across 24 languages and 12 English dialects.

Case Study

Each chapter includes a case study or studies to illustrate how an individual child responds to the intervention, challenges that require decision-making over the course of the intervention period, and the kinds of data collection that may be used to provide input to those decisions. Authors were also invited to include firsthand accounts from parents, families, or children regarding the impact of the intervention.

10

Learning Activities

Each chapter includes a list of two to three learning activities to help readers apply information about the intervention approach, such as identify intervention targets given a brief description of a child's sound system, develop a list of intervention exemplars, or develop a lesson plan. When this text is being read as part of a course, professors may choose to use these learning activities to facilitate class discussion or to structure in-class writing assignments.

Future Directions

This section provides authors with the opportunity to draw on their current research and clinical experiences with their approach and point the way toward further, productive development of that approach. Readers can use this section to confirm their impressions about current gaps in the research evidence and the theoretical underpinnings of a given approach. Furthermore, readers can consider how they themselves might address those gaps—through data collection designed to provide local evidence that the intervention is useful to a specific client or group of clients, if they decide to adopt the intervention despite its limitations, or through their own research efforts, if they are interested in and able to conduct more formal research.

Summary

Each chapter ends with a summary of the main takeaway points of the intervention approach. This will help readers determine if they understood the key points or possibly what sections of the chapter will require additional reading.

Suggested Readings

Because book chapters are necessarily limited in space and some of the interventions are quite complex, we include this section so that authors can direct readers to additional readings. Whereas many of these readings will provide further information about intervention procedures, stimuli, and materials, others represent the primary scholarly sources in which important studies were first reported. Readers who become particularly intrigued by a given approach should consider these sources as their next logical step in learning about the intervention.

HOW TO USE THIS BOOK: COMMENTS TO SPECIFIC AUDIENCES

This book may be useful for diverse groups of readers who would probably be reading it for different reasons and with different goals in mind. As noted earlier in this chapter, we anticipate four primary categories of readers who may be especially interested in this book:

- Students of speech-language pathology (speech and language therapy)
- Clinical practitioners who work with children with SSD
- Academics and clinical educators who teach students about SSD in children
- Parents of children with SSD

Because each audience will probably approach the book from different needs and perspectives, Table 1.5 lists suggested strategies, as well as specific chapters and sections within chapters that may address each group's special needs and interests.

Table 1.5. Sugg	ested sections for specific audiences	
Audience	Recommended sections	Comments
Students	 Chapter 1, Introduction Chapter 2, Implementing Interventions 	 Chapter 1 gives you an overview of how the chapters are organized, which is a valuable map to guide your reading. Chapter 2 gives you a more specific framework to help you understand the components and elements of a given intervention approach. As you read individual intervention chapters, you may want to pay close attention to the Introduction and Summary, along with key terms (boldfaced in the text and defined in the Glossary, available online), before you complete a thoughtful reading of the chapter. The chapter template in Table 1.2 can also guide your reading.
Clinical practitioners	 The Chapter 1 appendix provides an excellent overview of all 21 intervention approaches. Chapter 2, Implementing Interventions As you begin reading individual intervention chapters, sections that may be most valuable may include Theoretical Basis and Empirical Basis Rey Components, Practical Requirements, Case Study, Considerations for Children from Culturally and Linguistically Diverse Backgrounds, and video demonstrations (in select chapters) 	 This appendix guides your identification of approaches appropriate to the children in your caseload. Chapter 2 gives you a framework for considering the complex and dynamic components that comprise an intervention approach so you can implement it with fidelity. The theoretical and empirical bases of intervention approaches may help you identify possible advantages of an intervention over one you currently use. These sections provide the nuts and bolts of an intervention and how well it can fit the needs and situations of children in your caseload.
Professors, academics, and clinical educators	 Scan the summary tables in Chapter 1 Chapter 2, Implementing Interventions Most, if not all, intervention chapters with special attention to Introduction, key terms, Learning Activities Video demonstrations 	 The summary tables give you a quick sense of the types of problems addressed by the interventions and the information provided for each approach. Chapter 2 gives you a framework for teaching the complex and dynamic components that comprise an intervention approach. In the intervention chapters, you may choose to focus on these sections to identify topics for class discussion or test question preparation. The video demonstrations help students identify the key components of an approach that they read in the chapter.
Parents	 Chapter 1, Introduction Especially the appendix Of selected intervention approaches, read Introduction and Case Study sections; watch video demonstration Practical Requirements and Key Components are important sections for approaches that engage family members directly Suggested Readings 	 The summary tables in Chapter 1 give you a map for the layout of all intervention chapters. The Chapter 1 appendix gives you a snapshot of all 21 approaches. Once you have selected an intervention or interventions to read about, reading the Introduction and Case Study sections and watching the video will help you decide if you want to read further or ask your child's SLP questions about what you have read or seen. These sections give you an understanding of the strategies and activities that will be implemented in therapy sessions with your child. After an intervention has been adopted for your child, you may want pread for sour understanding of the intervention's methods or rationale.

11

A STRUCTURAL FRAMEWORK FOR INTERVENTION

Intervention approaches are implemented within a framework that encompasses a number of components that comprise an intervention package, so to speak. To better understand the individual components of the package, it is helpful to separate the parts to determine how they fit within a structural framework of intervention. One framework that has been described and commonly referenced is a model with roots in Fey (1986) and outlined in McCauley and Fey (2006). This framework provides a broad-based structure for conceptualizing intervention and therefore is not tied to a specific theoretical perspective or intervention approach. We present it here in Figure 1.1 as a structural framework for readers to understand the various components of the intervention package as well as to provide a scheme for comparisons across the 20 intervention approaches presented in this book.

As shown in Figure 1.1, this model includes the following components: 1) goals (hierarchy of specificity that advances from broad to specific goals in terms of basic, intermediate, specific, and subgoals), 2) *intervention context* (clinic, classroom, or home), 3) *intervention agent* (clinician, teacher, or parent), 4) *dosage of intervention* (frequency and intensity of sessions), 5) *procedures* (the various intervention components that comprise a specific intervention approach), 6) *goal attack strategies* (plan for addressing multiple goals), 7) *activities* (the specific activities and materials used to address a goal within a session),



Figure 1.1. Structure of intervention focused on speech sound disorders. (Source: McCauley & Fey, 2006.) ^aKey Components (Goals-Goal Attack Strategy-Procedures-Activities-Materials). ^bIncluding interrelated goals of language and/or literacy. ^cPractical Requirements (Personnel-Dosage). ^dAssessment and Progress Monitoring (Evaluation-Data Collection).

and 8) *evaluation* (data collection procedures and methods for progress monitoring and decision-making relevant to alteration of goals, methods, stimuli, activities, and so forth). This figure links each intervention component represented in the diagram to the chapter section that addresses those components. This will facilitate readers' connection of the intervention components to the chapter template sections for each intervention approach as well as allow comparisons to be easily made between and among the approaches.

FOUNDATIONAL CORE KNOWLEDGE

Working effectively with children who have SSD requires strong foundational, or core, knowledge. Baker and Williams note in Chapter 2 that intervention approaches for children with SSD are complex and dynamic. Interventions are interactional, and SLPs need to make a number of decisions quickly in order to provide nuanced intervention tailoring that is still within acceptable fidelity in implementing an intervention. This requires foundational knowledge in typical acquisition as well as phonetic transcription. Finally, information on the prevalence of SSD is important to use to advocate for this population and for justifying expenditure of resources for materials and funding for professional development. Each of these topics is briefly addressed in the following sections with a list of resources that readers can access.

Speech Acquisition

Typical speech acquisition is often described in terms of four periods of development:

- Prelinguistic (birth-12 months)
- First words (12–18 months)
- Phonemic development (18 months-4 years)
- Stabilization (4–8 years)

A brief description of each of these periods is summarized in Table 1.6. These developmental periods are important to consider with regard to the developmental levels of the intervention approaches included in this book (see "Developmental level" column in the chapter appendix available online). Specifically, the *emerging* developmental level corresponds with the first-words period; the *developing* level corresponds with the phonemicdevelopment period; and the *elaborating* level aligns with the stabilization period.

Children's speech acquisition requires development of many interrelated skills in order to conduct successful mutually intelligible conversations in the relevant languages. Some of these skills that need to be refined include the perception, storage, and production of speech (consonants, vowels, tones, prosody, phonotactics) with the appropriate motor coordination for the oral (lips, teeth, tongue, palate), aural (ear), laryngeal, pharyngeal, neurological, and respiratory structures. To this end, extensive research has been undertaken to describe children's acquisition of skills enabling oromotor function, perception, intelligibility, phonetic inventory, syllable and word shape inventory, mastery of consonants and vowels, percentage of consonants/vowels/phonemes correct, common mismatches, phonological processes (patterns), syllable structure, prosody, and metalinguistic and phonological awareness skills (for an extensive international review, see McLeod and Baker, 2017, Chapter 6). Additionally, McLeod (2009, 2012) developed a freely downloadable year-by-year handout titled "A summary of English studies of speech acquisition," including phonetic inventory by consonants, vowels, clusters; intelligibility; percentage

Developmental period	Description
Prelinguistic (birth–1 year)	This period of development prior to the first words includes five stages in which the infant moves from reflexive vocalizations to adult-like syllables:
	 Phonation (0–1 month)
	 Cooing (2–4 months)
	 Vocal expansion or experimentation (4–6 months)
	 Canonical babbling (6–8 months)
	 Variegated babbling (9–12 months)
First words (1–1;6)	This period is characterized by the lexical and phonological development occurring in tandem. Specifically, the child appears to learn new vocabu- lary as unanalyzed wholes rather than as a sequence of sound segments. Therefore, a stable one-to-one correspondence occurs within and across lexical items. This has been referred to as a <i>whole-word strategy</i> , and the acquisition of vocabulary is largely driven by the child within the phonetic inventory.
Phonemic development (1;6–4 years)	A rapid increase in vocabulary occurs after the 50-word stage around 18 months, which forces the child to move to a <i>rule-based strategy</i> . The child changes to rule-governed forms that result in a more stable, segmental correspondence with the adult words. Perceptual skills and intelligibility increases.
Stabilization (4–8 years)	The child stabilizes production of inconsistently produced phonemes and acquires the later developing phonemes (for English this includes liquids, fricatives, and affricates) and consonant clusters. By 5 years, the child is intelligible and most consonants are produced correctly. Phonological awareness and literacy skills increase.

Table 1.6.Periods of typical speech acquisition (Stark, 1980; Stoel-Gammon & Dunn, 1985; McLeod & Crowe,2018; McLeod, 2020)

Sources: Stark (1980); Stoel-Gammon & Dunn (1985).

of consonants correct (PCC); phonological processes; diadochokinetic rate; maximum phonation time; phonological awareness; and prosody (https://www.csu.edu.au/research /multilingual-speech/speech-acquisition).

One key area that has received extensive consideration is the mastery of consonants. McLeod and Crowe (2018) analyzed developmental norms from 64 studies across 27 languages for more than 26,000 children from 31 countries and found that most children around the world can produce most consonants in their first language correctly by 5 years of age (90% criterion) (see Table 1.7). Next, Crowe and McLeod (2020) analyzed developmental norms from 15 studies of English consonant acquisition for more than 18,000 children in the United States. Again, they found that most children in the United States can produce most consonants correctly by 5 years of age (90% criterion). The results of both studies were remarkably similar (Table 1.7). These authors have produced speech sound acquisition posters for English, which can be downloaded for free from https://www.csu.edu.au /research/multilingual-speech/speech-acquisition. Similarly, Shriberg (1993), by analyzing speech data from 63 children with SSD, suggested a developmental sequence of consonant acquisition known as the early 8, middle 8, and late 8 (Table 1.7). Data from the aforementioned studies of typically developing children have many similarities in terms of the general order of acquisition; however, children with SSD have fewer consonants in the early category and more consonants in the late category.

Important factors must be considered when using developmental norms, including the consonant acquisition norms described previously (cf. Farquharson & Tambyraja, 2019; Storkel, 2019). Among the numerous studies of speech sound acquisition, there are differences in the ages at which speech skills are reported to be acquired. This discrepancy can be

Average age	McLeod & Crowe (2018)	Crowe & McLeod (2020)	Shriberg (1993)
			51116619 (1555)
Sample	Eight studies of typically developing English- speaking children from 6 countries*	Thirteen studies of 18,187 typically developing English-speaking children from United States	One study of 64 children with speech sound disorder from United States
2;0–2;11	/p/	/b, n, m, p, h, w, d/	
3;0–3;11	/b, m, d, n, h, t, k, g, w, ŋ, f, j/	/g, k, f, t, ŋ, j/	Early 8: /m, b, j, n, w, d, p, h/
4;0–4;11	/I, dʒ, ʧ, s, v, ∫, z/	/v, dz, s, tʃ, l, ʃ, z/	Middle 8: /t, ŋ, k, g, f, v, ʧ, ʤ/
5;0–5;11	/ı, ʒ, ð/	/ı, ð, ʒ/	Late 8: /ʃ, θ, s, z, ð, l, ɹ, ʒ/
6;0–6;11	/θ/	/θ/	

 Table 1.7
 Comparison of the age of acquisition (90% criterion) for consonants across three studies of English-speaking children

*This is a subset of the complete sample of 64 studies of children from 31 countries speaking 27 languages. *Sources:* Crowe & McLeod (2020); Mcleod & Crowe (2018); Shriberg (1993).

related to methodological differences across studies in which even a small difference, such as imitative versus spontaneous naming, can result in large differences in reported age of acquisition. Further, differences in criterion levels also lead to differences in reported ages of acquisition. For example, use of 50% (customary production) versus 90% (mastery) criteria results in different decision making. Given these differences, there is no agreed-upon set of norms that has been adopted as the gold standard by all SLPs. The important point to consider is that speech acquisition does not occur at a single age or a definitive cut-off point and requires a development of a range of skills.

Phonetic Transcription

Phonetic transcription is a basic clinical skill and an essential component of a speech sound assessment. Ramsdell and Stuart (2012) conducted a survey of more than 2,600 U.S. SLPs and reported that while they believe transcription is important to their clinical practice, the majority of SLPs rarely transcribe. A similar survey of U.S. SLPs by Munson, Johnson, and Edwards (2012) reported that only 52% regularly transcribe. This percentage is somewhat lower than that of speech and language therapists surveyed in the United Kingdom, where the authors found that 61% regularly transcribe speech (Knight, Bandali, Woodhead, & Vansadia, 2018). Furthermore, a study of 175 SLPs revealed limited knowledge of the place of tongue/palate contact for English consonants (McLeod, 2011). SLPs were most accurate for /p, f, h/ (where there is no tongue/palate contact) and the velar consonants /k, g, ŋ/, but most did not demonstrate knowledge about lateral bracing (tongue contact along the lateral margins of the teeth) for alveolar consonants such as /t, d, s, z, n/ or the groove (so that air can move through the midline) for consonants such as /s, z, \int , 3/ (McLeod, 2011).

The importance of phonetic transcription is growing as SLPs are increasingly working with multilingual children. Many analyses are based on phonetic transcriptions, so if our transcriptions do not accurately reflect the child's productions, our analyses will be faulty and, consequently, our intervention plan will be flawed. Our analyses and interventions are as sound as our transcriptions are accurate. A major snag for both students and practitioners in the United States is that phonetics coursework is typically completed as one of the first courses in the undergraduate communicative disorders curriculum. Then it can be years before those skills are actually used to transcribe disordered speech in the clinic. 16

Phonetic transcription is a tool skill—and as the saying goes, "If you don't use it, you lose it." This section provides a broad review with some suggested resources to refresh and sharpen your transcription skills.

Phonetic Transcription for Disordered Speech Basic phonetic transcription uses the symbols in the International Phonetic Alphabet (IPA) chart (see the final pages of this book following the index). The IPA symbols can be used to provide broad transcription of consonants and vowels that are part of the standard phonetic repertoire of the adult sound system in any language (including English). It is not uncommon, however, for English-speaking children with SSD to produce sounds that are outside the typical English phonetic inventory. SLPs therefore must be familiar with the full IPA. Powell (2001) summarized several non-English IPA symbols that are often used in transcribing disordered speech. These include bilabial fricatives ($[\Phi, \beta]$), velar fricatives ([x, y]), lateral fricatives ([4, \underline{k}]), alveolar affricates ([ts, dz]), and interdental affricates [$\underline{t}\theta$, $\underline{d}\delta$]) (see Table 1.8). To elaborate on Table 1.8, Figure 1.2 provides a coronal image of tongue/ palate contact for different productions of /s/: typical, interdental, lateral, and stopped. The images are a stylized image of a person's hard palate, with the top of each image indicating the area of the palate immediately behind the top teeth and the bottom of each image indicating the junction between the hard and soft palates. The black boxes indicate where the tongue touches the palate. The boxes represent electrodes used in electropalatography (EPG; see Chapter 22 for more information).

Often, more detail is needed to capture what the child does for the adult target. Diacritic markings are added to IPA symbols to capture variations in the production of the consonant or vowel. Transcription with diacritics is frequently referred to as *narrow phonetic transcription*. Diacritics, found on the IPA chart, can be categorized by the type of production change as *place diacritics, manner diacritics*, and *voicing diacritics*. Common diacritics used with children with SSD include "voiceless [,], voiced [,], aspirated [^h], labialized [^w], dental [,], nasalized [~], and no audible release [[¬]]" (McLeod & Baker, 2017, p. 98) (see Table 1.8). For example, a child may indicate knowledge of the final nasal in the word *soon* / sun/ by lengthening and adding nasalization to the vowel /u/ so that the word is produced as [sũ]. Ball and colleagues provided a useful three-part tutorial on the transcription of disordered speech: consonants (Ball, Müller, Rutter, & Klopfenstein, 2009), vowels and diacritics (Ball, Müller, Klopfenstein, & Rutter, 2010), and prosody and unattested sounds (Rutter, Klopfenstein, Ball, & Müller, 2010).

IPA Extensions The International Clinical Phonetics and Linguistics Association in 1994 adopted the Extensions to the IPA for the Transcription of Disordered Speech (extIPA) to include a set of specialized symbols for clinical phonetics in order to describe disordered speech (ICPLA Executive Committee, 1994). It was revised in 2015 (Ball, Howard, & Miller, 2018). The extIPA chart is included on the final page of this book following the index (https://www.internationalphoneticassociation.org/sites/default/files/extIPA_2016.pdf). As can be seen, it is organized similarly to the IPA chart in terms of place, manner, and voicing. However, it includes symbols for consonants that do not occur in natural languages but may be produced by someone with a speech disorder. The *places of production* include three types of fricatives (median, lateral + median, and nareal) as well as a percussive manner. *Voicing* is represented with the voiceless sound listed first in the cognate pairs, as in the IPA chart. The extIPA also includes 15 diacritic marks to document place modifications, force of production (strong and weak articulation), dynamic features (as in dysfluency), manner

$ \begin{bmatrix} J_{5}, z \\ voiced alveo-lar fricatives \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y, z \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} Dentalized fricatives zoo \\ zoo \\ zoo \\ zoo \\ zoo \\ zu \\ [6, \delta] \end{bmatrix} Interdental seat \\ fricatives \\ zoo \\ zoo \\ zoo \\ zu \\ [6, \delta] \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} [0, \delta] \\ [1] terdental \\ fricatives \\ zoo \\ zoo \\ zu \\ [6] terdental \\ fricatives \\ zoo \\ zoo \\ zu \\ [6] terdental \\ [6] terdental \\ fricatives \\ zoo \\ zoo \\ zu \\ [6] terdental \\ [6] terdental \\ fricatives \\ zoo \\ zoo \\ zu \\ [6] terdental \\ [6] terdental \\ fricatives \\ zoo \\ zoo \\ zu \\ [6] terdental \\ [7] terdental \\ [6] terdental \\ [6] terdental \\ [6] terdental \\ [7] terdental \\ [6] terdental \\ [7] terdental \\ [7] terdental \\ [7] terdental \\ [6] terdental \\ [6] terdental \\ [6] terdental \\ [6] terdental \\ [7] terdental \\ [6] terdenta \\ [6] terdental \\ [6] terdenta \\ [6] terdenta \\ [6] terdental \\ [$	Target	Sound class	Common disordered realizations in English	Description	Example word	Adult target	Child's production
$ \left[\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	/s, z/	Voiceless and voiced alveo- lar fricatives	[s, z]	Dentalized fricatives	seat zoo	/sit/ /zu/	[sit] [zu]
$ \left[\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			[θ, ð]	Interdental fricatives	seat zoo	/sit/ /zu/	[θit] [ðu]
$ \left[\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			[ɬ, ᡌ]	Lateral fricatives	seat zoo	/sit/ /zu/	[ɬit] [ɟu]
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			[sː, zː]	Lengthened fricatives	seat zoo	/sit/ /zu/	[sːit] [zːu]
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	/ג/	Alveolar approximant ("r")	[w], [J ^w]	Labialized	read	/bit/	[wid], [រ ^w id]
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	/J/, /əʰ/		[v, ə]	Derhoticized	reader	/ ~~ bit/	[vidə]
$ \begin{bmatrix} x, y \end{bmatrix} & \text{Velar fricatives} & thick this & /0tk/ [xtk] [yts] \\ /tj, dj/ & \text{Voiceless} \\ and voiced affricates & [ts, dz] & Alveolar affricates & jeans & /djinz/ [dzinz] \\ [t0, d] & Interdental affricates & jeans & /djinz/ [ddin] \\ [t0, d] & Interdental affricates & jeans & /djinz/ [ddin] \\ [t0, b, t, d, voiceless and voiced stops & [?] & Glottal stop & goo & /gu/ [?u] \\ [p^h, t^h, k^h] & Aspirated (allophones in word-initial English words) \\ [p^i, t^i, k^i] & Deaspirated/now for heat heat /hit/ [k^hi] \\ [p^i, t^i, k^i] & Deaspirated/nom for heat heat heat heat heat heat heat heat$	/θ, ð/	Voiceless and voiced interdental fricatives	[φ, β]	Bilabial fricatives	thick this	/θɪk/ /ðɪs/	[φɪk] [βɪk]
/ʧ, dʒ/Voiceless and voiced affricates[ts, dz]Alveolar affricatesjeans/dʒinz/[dzinz][tθ, dð]Interdental affricatesjeans/dʒinz/[dðinð]/p, b, t, d, k, g/Voiceless and voiced stops[?]Glottal stopgoo/gu/[?u][pʰ, tʰ, kʰ]Aspirated (allophones in word-initial English words)team/tim/[tʰim][pʰ, tʰ, kʰ]Deaspirated/no audible release (allophones in word-final English words)heat/hit/[hitʰ][p, ţ, k]Voicedteam/tim/[tim][b, d, g]Voicelessdo/du/[du]			[x, ɣ]	Velar fricatives	thick this	/Өık/ /ðıs/	[x1k] [y1s]
$ \begin{bmatrix} t \theta, d \delta \end{bmatrix} \begin{array}{c} \mbox{Interdental} & jeans & /d sinz/ & [d \delta in \delta] \\ affricates & goo & /gu/ & [?u] \\ \hline \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	/ʧ, ʤ/	Voiceless and voiced affricates	[ts, dz]	Alveolar affricates	jeans	/ʤinz/	[dzinz]
/p, b, t, d, k, g/Voiceless and voiced stops[?]Glottal stopgoo/gu/[?u][p ^h , t ^h , k ^h]Aspirated (allophones in word-initial English words)team key/tim/[t ^h im] (k ⁱ)[p ⁱ , t ⁱ , k ⁱ]Deaspirated/no audible release (allophones in word-final English words)heat peak/hit/[hit ¹] (pik'][p, t, k]Voicedteam/tim/[tjm] [doj[b, d, g]Voicelessdo/du/[du]			[t̪θ, dð]	Interdental affricates	jeans	/ʤinz/	[dðinð]
[p ^h , t ^h , k ^h]Aspirated (allophones in word-initial English words)team/tim/[t ^h im] [k ^h i][p ¹ , t ¹ , k ²]Deaspirated/no audible release (allophones in word-final English words)heat/hit/[hit ¹] [pik ²][p, t, k]Voicedteam/tim/[tim][b, d, g]Voicelessdo/du/[du]	/p, b, t, d, k, g/	Voiceless and voiced stops	[?]	Glottal stop	goo	/gu/	[?u]
[p], t], k]Deaspirated/no audible release (allophones in word-final English words)heat peak/hit/ [hit][p, t, k]Voicedteam/tim/ [tim][b, d, g]Voicelessdo/du/[du]			[pʰ, tʰ, kʰ]	Aspirated (allophones in word-initial English words)	team key	/tim/ /ki/	[tʰim] [kʰi]
[p, ţ, ķ] Voiced <i>team</i> /tim/ [ţim] [b, d, g] Voiceless do /du/ [du]			[p], ť, k]	Deaspirated/no audible release (allophones in word-final English words)	heat peak	/hit/ /pik/	[hit ^ª] [pik ^ª]
[b, d, g] Voiceless do /du/ [du]			[p, ţ, ķ]	Voiced	team	/tim/	[ţim]
			[þ, d, g]	Voiceless	do	/du/	[du]

Table 1.8. Common clinical transcriptions using IPA and extIPA symbols and diacritics

Sources: Ball, Müller, Rutter, & Klopfenstein (2009); McLeod & Baker (2017); Powell (2001).

differences (e.g., whistled articulation), and direction of airflow (ingressive vs. egressive). Lastly, the extIPA includes a system for indicating uncertainty in transcribing a particular aspect of a speaker's production. The extIPA also allows you to indicate, by circling either C or V, that a consonant (C) or vowel (V) was unable to be determined.

Remember that phonetic transcription is a skill, so you will become more proficient the more you use it—although only if you periodically gauge your reliability. Whether working in a monolingual or multilingual setting, every student and clinician needs to know how to brush up their skills. To increase your confidence in your transcription skills,

Williams, McLeod, and McCauley



Figure 1.2. Comparison between stylized transverse images of four productions of /s/: typical, interdental, lateral, and stopped. Images represent the hard palate. Dark boxes indicate tongue/palate contact. (Used by permission from Sharynne McLeod.)

transcribe live, but it is important to also get a quality video-recording of your speech samples because visual cues are helpful for placement, as well as for transcribing some fricatives. If available, find a transcription partner so you can compare transcriptions. This will give you an idea of your transcription reliability as well as feedback to help you improve your transcription skills. A number of transcription and IPA resources are available on the Internet, and many are listed in Table 1.9. This chart, also available on the Brookes website with the other online resources accompanying this book, includes links to IPA charts to

Table 1.9. Resources regarding transcription and the International Phonetic Alphabet (IPA)

Official IPA charts from the International Phonetic Association

- https://www.internationalphoneticassociation.org/content/ipa-chart
- https://www.internationalphoneticassociation.org/sites/default/files/extIPA_2016.pdf

Journal of the International Phonetic Association

• https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/journal-of-the-international-phonetic-association

Online IPA keyboards (so you can type in phonetics)

- https://ipa.typeit.org/full/
- https://www.blugs.com/IPA/index.html

Downloadable IPA fonts (free) for your computer

- https://software.sil.org/doulos/
- https://software.sil.org/charis/

Sites that allow you to listen to pronunciation of IPA symbols

- https://www.internationalphoneticalphabet.org/ipa-sounds/ipa-chart-with-sounds/
- http://phonetics.ucla.edu/course/chapter1/chapter1.html
- https://www.ipachart.com/
- https://enunciate.arts.ubc.ca/linguistics/world-sounds/
- http://web.uvic.ca/ling/resources/ipa/charts/IPAlab/
- http://www.yorku.ca/earmstro/ipa/index.html

Sites that allow you to see and listen to pronunciation of IPA symbols

- https://www.seeingspeech.ac.uk/
- https://soundsofspeech.uiowa.edu/home/

Transcription self-study programs

- http://phonetics.ucla.edu/course/contents.html
- http://elearning.marjon.ac.uk/ptsp/
- https://libguides.northwestern.edu/phonetics/
- http://billcprice.com/futureimperfect/2013/06/three-types-of-web-resources-for-teaching-phonetics/
- https://enunciate.arts.ubc.ca/linguistics/world-sounds/

the International Phonetic Association, to the *Journal of the International Phonetic Association*, and to sites that allow you to type, see, and listen to the pronunciation of the IPA symbols. If you are working with a child who has a cleft palate, additional resources will be valuable (e.g., Harding & Grunwell, 1998; Howard, 2011).

Prevalence of SSD in Children Children, especially between the ages of 3 and 6 years, with SSD comprise a significant portion of the caseloads of SLPs. A 2012 survey from the National Center for Health Statistics (Black, Vahratian, & Hoffman, 2015) estimated that among children with a communication disorder, 48% of 3- to 10-year-old children had an SSD only, a proportion that dropped to 24% of 11- to 17-year-old children. Residual or persistent speech errors are estimated to occur in 3.8% of 8-year-old children (Wren, Miller, Peters, Emond, & Roulstone, 2016) and 1% to 2% of older children and adults (Flipsen, 2015). Adding to the complexity of SSD is that 11% to 40% of children with SSD have co-occurring language impairment (Eadie et al., 2015; Shriberg, Tomblin, & McSweeny, 1999). SSD in kindergarten children have been associated with lower literacy outcomes (Overby, Trainin, Smit, Bernthal, & Nelson, 2012) and poorer overall academic performance with long term consequences for their educational experiences and employment outcomes (McCormack, McLeod, McAllister, & Harrison, 2009; McLeod, Harrison & Wang, 2019). Consequently, SSD are not confined solely to speech or to early childhood.

CONCLUDING COMMENTS

We believe this book can have a positive impact on speech-language pathology through the advancement of effective practices for the intervention of SSD with individual children in the present day as well as with children who will be served by future generations of clinical practitioners. By making EBP and interventions for which evidence is being sought accessible to practicing clinicians, we hope to facilitate increased intervention effectiveness, as well as increased efficiency, by significantly decreasing the amount of time these children require services.

SUGGESTED READINGS

- ASHA (2020). ASHA practice portal: Speech sound disorders (Articulation and phonology). Retrieved from https://www.asha.org/Practice-Portal/Clinical-Topics/Articulation-and-Phonology
- Ball, M. J., Müller, N., Rutter, B., & Klopfenstein, M. (2009). My client's using non-English sounds! A tutorial in advanced phonetic transcription. Part 1: Consonants. *Contemporary Issues in Communication* Sciences and Disorders, 36, 133–141.
- McLeod, S., & Baker, E. (2017). Children's speech: An evidence-based approach to assessment and intervention. Boston, MA: Pearson Education.
- McLeod, S., & Crowe, K. (2018). Children's consonant acquisition in 27 languages: A cross-linguistic review. American Journal of Speech-Language Pathology, 27(4), 1546–1571.
- Powell, T. W. (2001). Phonetic transcription of disordered speech. *Topics in Language Disorders*, 21(4), 52-72.
- Stemberger, J. P., & Bernhardt, B. M. (2020). Phonetic transcription for speech-language pathology in the 21st century. *Folia Phoniatrica et Logopaedica*, 72(2), 75–83.

REFERENCES

- American Psychiatric Association. (2013). Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5) (5th ed.). Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Publishing.
- American Speech-Language-Hearing Association. (2004). Scope of practice in audiology [Scope of practice]. Retrieved from https://www.asha.org /uploadedFiles/SP2018-00353.pdf

Williams, McLeod, and McCauley

- American Speech-Language-Hearing Association. (2007). Scope of practice in speech-language pathology [Scope of Practice]. Available from https://www.asha.org/uploadedFiles/SP2016 -00343.pdf
- Baker, E., McCauley, R.J., Williams, A.L., & McLeod, S. (2020). Elements in phonological intervention: A comparison of three approaches using the Phonological Intervention Taxonomy. In E. Babatsouli & M. J. Ball (Eds.), On underreported monolingual child phonology (pp. 375-399). Bristol, UK: Multilingual Matters.
- Baker, E., & McLeod, S. (2011). Evidence-based practice for children with speech sound disorders: Part 1 narrative review. *Language, Speech,* and Hearing Services in Schools, 42(2), 102–139.
- Baker, E., Williams, A. L., McLeod, S., & McCauley, R. (2018). Elements of phonological interventions for children with speech sound disorders: The development of a taxonomy. *American Journal of Speech-Language Pathology*, 27(3), 906–935.
- Ball, M., Howard, S., & Miller, K. (2018). Revisions to the extIPA chart. Journal of the International Phonetic Association, 48(2), 155–164.
- Ball, M. J., Müller, N., Klopfenstein, M., & Rutter, B. (2010). My client is using non-English sounds! A tutorial in advanced phonetic transcription, Part II: Vowels and diacritics. *Contemporary Issues in Communication Sciences and* Disorders, 37, 103–110.
- Ball, M. J., Müller, N., Rutter, B., & Klopfenstein, M. (2009). My client's using non-English sounds! A tutorial in advanced phonetic transcription. Part 1: Consonants. Contemporary Issues in Communication Sciences and Disorders, 36, 133–141.
- Bernstein Ratner, N. (2006). Evidence-based practice: An examination of its ramifications for the practice of speech-language pathology. *Language, Speech, and Hearing Services in Schools, 37*(4), 257–267.
- Black, L. I., Vahratian, A., & Hoffman, H. J. (2015). Communication disorders and use of intervention services among children aged 3–17 years: United States, 2012 (NCHS data brief, no 205). Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics. Retrieved from https://www.cdc.gov /nchs/products/databriefs/db205.htm
- Blake, H. L., & McLeod, S. (2018). The International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health: Considering individuals from a perspective of health and wellness. *Perspectives of the ASHA Special Interest Groups*, 3(17), 69–77.
- Crowe, K., & McLeod, S. (2020, in press). Children's English consonant acquisition in the United States: A review. American Journal of Speech-Language Pathology.

- Dollaghan, C. A. (2007). *The handbook for evidencebased practice in communication disorders*. Baltimore, MD: Paul H. Brookes Publishing Co.
- Dudding, C. C., & Pfeiffer, D. L. (2018). Clinical decision-making in speech-language pathology graduate students: Quantitative findings. *Teaching and Learning in Communication Sciences and Disorders*, 2(1), Article 2. https://doi .org/10.30707/TLCSD2.1Dudding
- Eadie, P., Morgan, A., Ukoumunne, O. C., Eecen, K. T., Wake, M., & Reilly, S. (2015). Speech sound disorder at 4 years: Prevalence, comorbidities, and predictors in a community cohort of children. *Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology*, 57(6), 578-584.
- Farquharson, K., & Tambyraja, S. R. (2019). Describing how school-based SLPs determine eligibility for children with speech sound disorders. *Seminars in Speech and Language*, 40(2), 105–112.
- Fey, M. E. (1986). Language intervention with children. Boston, MA: Allyn & Bacon.
- Finn, P. (2011). Critical thinking: Knowledge and skills for evidence-based practice. Language, Speech, and Hearing Services in Schools, 42(1), 69–72.
- Flipsen, P. (2015). Emergence and prevalence of persistent and residual speech errors. *Seminars in Speech Language*, 36(4), 217–223.
- Furlong, L., Serry, T., Erickson, S., & Morris, M. E. (2018). Processes and challenges in clinical decision-making for children with speechsound disorders. *International Journal of Language and Communication Disorders*, 53(6), 1124–1138.
- Ginsberg, S. M., Friberg, J. C., & Visconti, C. F. (2016). Diagnostic reasoning by experienced speech-language pathologists and student clinicians. Contemporary Issues in Communication Science and Disorders, 43, 87–91.
- Harding, A., & Grunwell, P. (1998). Active versus passive cleft-type speech characteristics. *International Journal of Language and Communication Disorders*, 33(3), 329–352.
- Hill, A. E., Davidson, B. J., & Theodoros, D. G. (2012). Reflections on clinical learning in novice speech-language therapy students. *International Journal of Language and Communication Disorders*, 47(4), 413–426.
- Howard, S. (2011). Phonetic transcription for speech related to cleft palate. In S. Howard & A. Lohmander (Eds.), *Cleft palate speech: Assessment and intervention* (pp. 127–144). Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.
- ICPLA Executive Committee. (1999). The extIPA chart. Journal of the International Phonetic Association, 24(2), 95–98.

- International Expert Panel on Multilingual Children's Speech. (2012). *Multilingual children with speech sound disorders: Position paper.* Bathurst, Australia: Charles Sturt University. Retrieved from http://www.csu.edu.au/research /multilingual-speech/position-paper
- Joffe, V., & Pring, T. (2008). Children with phonological problems: A survey of clinical practice. *International Journal of Language and Communication Disorders*, 43(2), 154–164.
- Knight, R. A., Bandali, C., Woodhead, C., & Vansadia, P. (2018). Clinicians' views of the training, use and maintenance of phonetic transcription in speech and language therapy. *International Journal of Language and Communication Disorders*, 53(4), 776–787.
- Law, J., Boyle, J., Harris, F., Harkness, A., & Nye, C. (2000). Prevalence and natural history of primary speech and language delay: Findings from a systematic review of the literature. *International Journal of Language and Communication Disorders*, 35(2), 165–188.
- McCauley, R. J., & Fey, M. (2006). Introduction to the treatment of language disorders in children. In R. J. McCauley & M. E. Fey (Eds.), *Treatment* of language disorders in children (pp. 1–20). Baltimore, MD: Paul H. Brookes Publishing Co.
- McCormack, J., McLeod, S., McAllister, L., & Harrison, L.J. (2009). A systematic review of the association between childhood speech impairment and participation across the lifespan. *International Journal of Speech-Language Pathology*, 11(2), 155–170.
- McLeod, S. (2006). A holistic view of a child with unintelligible speech: Insights from the ICF and ICF-CY. *International Journal of Speech-Language Pathology*, 8(3), 293–315.
- McLeod, S. (2009). Speech sound acquisition. In J. E. Bernthal, N. W. Bankson, & P. Flipsen Jr (Eds.), Articulation and phonological disorders: Speech sound disorders in children (6th ed., pp. 63–120, 385–405). Boston, MA: Pearson Education.
- McLeod, S. (2011). Speech-language pathologists' knowledge of tongue/palate contact for consonants. *Clinical Linguistics and Phonetics*, 25(11-12), 1004-1013.
- McLeod, S. (2012). A summary of English studies of speech acquisition. Bathurst, NSW, Australia: Charles Sturt University. Retrieved from http:// www.csu.edu.au/research/multilingual-speech /speech-acquisition
- McLeod, S. (Ed). (2007). *The international guide to speech acquisition*. Clifton Park, NY: Thomson Delmar Learning.
- McLeod, S., & Baker, E. (2014). Speech-language pathologists' practices regarding assessment,

analysis, target selection, intervention, and service delivery for children with speech-sound disorders. *Clinical Linguistics and Phonetics*, 28(7–8), 508–531.

- McLeod, S., & Baker, E. (2017). Children's speech: An evidence-based approach to assessment and intervention. Boston, MA: Pearson.
- McLeod, S., & Crowe, K. (2018). Children's consonant acquisition in 27 languages: A crosslinguistic review. American Journal of Speech-Language Pathology, 27(4), 1546–1571.
- McLeod, S., Harrison, L. J., McAllister, L., & McCormack, J. (2013). Speech sound disorders in a community study of preschool children. *American Journal of Speech-Language Pathol*ogy, 22(3), 503–522.
- McLeod, S., Harrison, L. J., & Wang, C. (2019). A longitudinal population study of literacy and numeracy outcomes for children identified with speech, language, and communication needs in early childhood. *Early Childhood Research* Quarterly, 47, 507–517.
- McLeod, S., & McCormack, J. (2007). Application of the ICF and ICF-Children and Youth in children with speech impairment. *Seminars in Speech and Language*, 28(4), 254–264.
- Munson, B., Johnson, J. M., & Edwards, J. (2012). The role of experience in the perception of phonetic detail in children's speech: A comparison between speech-language pathologists and clinically untrained listeners. *American Journal of Speech-Language Pathology, 21*(2), 124–139.
- Overby, M., Trainin, G., Smit, A. B., Bernthal, J. E., & Nelson, R. (2012). Preliteracy speech sound production skill and later literacy outcomes: A study using the Templin Archive. *Language, Speech, and Hearing Services in Schools,* 43(1), 97–115.
- Powell, T. W. (2001). Phonetic transcription of disordered speech. *Topics in Language Disorders*, 21(4), 52–72.
- Ramsdell, H. L., & Stuart, A. (2012). Phonetic transcription and clinical practice: A survey of speech-language pathologists. *ICPLA 2012*. Presentation at the biennial conference of the International Clinical Phonetics and Linguistics Association: Cork, Ireland.
- Rutter, B., Klopfenstein, M., Ball, M. J., & Müller, N. (2010). My client is using non-English sounds! A tutorial in advanced phonetic transcription, Part III: Prosody and unattested sounds. Contemporary Issues in Communication Sciences and Disorders, 37, 111–122.
- Sackett, D. L., Rosenberg, W. M. C., Gray, J. A. M., Hayes, R. B., & Richardson, W. S. (1996). Evidence-based medicine: What it is and what it isn't. *British Medical Journal*, 312(7023), 71–72.

22

Williams, McLeod, and McCauley

- Shriberg, L. D. (1993). Four new speech and prosody-voice measures for genetics research and other studies in developmental phonological disorders. *Journal of Speech and Hearing Research*, 36(1), 105–140.
- Shriberg, L. D., Tomblin, J. B., & McSweeny, J. L. (1999). Prevalence of speech delay in 6-year-old children and comorbidity with language impairment. *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research*, 42(6), 1461–1481.
- Stark, R. E. (1980). Stages of speech development in the first year of life. In G. H. Yeni-Komshian, J. F. Kavanagh, & C. A. Ferguson (Eds.), *Child phonology. Volume 1: Production* (pp. 73–92). New York, NY: Academic Press.
- Stoel-Gammon, C., & Dunn, C. (1985). Normal and disordered phonology in children. Baltimore, MD: University Park Press.
- Storkel, H. L. (2019). Using developmental norms for speech sounds as a means of determining treatment eligibility in schools. *Perspectives of the ASHA Special Interest Groups*, 4(1), 67–75.
- Tate, R. L., Perdices, M., Rosenkoetter, U., Wakim, D., Godbee, K., Togher, L., & McDonald, S. (2013). Revision of a method quality rating scale for single-case experimental designs and n-of-1 trials: The 15-item Risk of Bias in N-of-1 Trials (RoBiNT) Scale. Neuropsychological Rehabilitation, 23(5), 619–638.

- Tiffen, J., Corbridge, S. J., & Slimmer, L. (2014). Enhancing clinical decision-making: Development of a contiguous definition and conceptual framework. *Journal of Professional Nursing*, 30(5), 399–405.
- Verhagen, A. P., de Vet, H. C., de Bie, R. A., Kessels, A.G., Boers, M., Bouter, L. M., & Knipschild, P. G. (1998). The Delphi list: A criteria list for quality assessment of randomized clinical trials for conducting systematic reviews developed by Delphi consensus. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, 51(12), 1235–1241.
- World Health Organization. (2001). International classification of functioning, disability and health (ICF). Geneva, Switzerland: Author.
- World Health Organization. (2007). International classification of functioning, disability and health—Children and youth version: ICF-CY. Geneva: Author.
- World Health Organization. (2018). International classification of diseases for mortality and morbidity statistics (11th revision). Retrieved from https://icd.who.int/browse11/l-m/en
- Wren, Y., Miller, L. L., Peters, T. J., Emond, A., & Roulstone, S. (2016). Prevalence and predictors of persistent speech sound disorder at eight years old: Findings from a population cohort study. *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research*, 59(4), 647–673.

SPEECH-LANGUAGE PATHOLOGY / SPEECH SOUND DISORDERS

"Represents research-to-practice at its best....Clinicians will be able to utilize this all-inclusive and wide-ranging text to effectively and efficiently implement evidenced-informed intervention approaches for children with speech sound disorders."

> -Brian Goldstein, Ph.D., CCC-SLP, Chief Academic Officer, Executive Dean, College of Rehabilitative Sciences, University of St. Augustine for Health Sciences

"An invaluable addition to courses in speech sound disorders. To find thoughtful, evidence-based reviews of a wide range of approaches to articulation and phonological therapy all in one place greatly enhances the teaching of this important area of clinical practice."

–Rhea Paul, Ph.D., CCC-SLP, HASHA, Professor and Chair, Department of Communication Disorders, Sacred Heart University

An essential building block of every speech-language pathologist's (SLP) professional preparation, the second edition of this bestselling textbook is a comprehensive critical analysis of 21 interventions for highly prevalent speech sound disorders (SSD) in children. Bringing together a powerhouse team of international experts, this edition has been revised and enhanced with current research, new interventions, more guidance on selecting interventions, and updated video clips that show the approaches in action. For each intervention, readers will get a clear explanation of its robust evidence base, plus thorough guidance on implementing the approach, monitoring progress, and using the intervention with children from culturally and linguistically diverse backgrounds.

A key graduate-level text and an important professional resource for practicing SLPs, early interventionists, and special educators, this book will help readers choose and use the best interventions for children with phonological or motor-based speech disorders.

WHAT'S NEW:

- 18 high-quality video clips that offer a vivid inside look at intervention techniques in action
- Expanded information on choosing interventions and implementing them with fidelity
- New featured interventions, including Dynamic Temporal and Tactile Cueing, speech motor programming intervention, articulation interventions, and biofeedback approaches
- Up-to-date research on SSD and interventions, including Levels of Evidence tables that help readers evaluate the evidence base for each intervention
- In-depth discussion of how the interventions relate to the World Health Organization's framework for enhancing participation
- New learning activities that help readers apply their understanding of each intervention



Communication & Language Intervention Series

Series Editors: Marc E. Fey, Ph.D., & Alan G. Kamhi, Ph.D.

This theory-to-practice, transdisciplinary book series addresses the language problems associated with communication disorders and developmental disabilities. Visit www.brookespublishing.com/cli for more on this series.

ABOUT THE EDITORS: A. Lynn Williams, Ph.D., is Associate Dean in the College of Clinical and Rehabilitative Health Sciences and a professor in the Department of Audiology and Speech-Language Pathology at East Tennessee State University. Sharynne McLeod, Ph.D., is professor of speech and language acquisition at Charles Sturt University, Australia. Rebecca J. McCauley, Ph.D., is a professor in the Department of Speech and Hearing Sciences at The Ohio State University.





Evaluate evidence-based intervention approaches:

- Minimal pairs
- Multiple oppositions
- Articulation interventions
- Dynamic Temporal and Tactile Cueing
- Core vocabulary
- Stimulability approach
- Biofeedback interventions
- Speech motor programming intervention
- Integrated phonological awareness intervention
- Enhanced milieu teaching with phonological emphasis
- and more